

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

LAMINATED GLAZING MATERIAL

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

[0001] The present invention relates to a laminated glazing material with properties of acoustic insulation and mechanical strength.

Discussion of the Background

[0002] Laminated glazing materials are generally designed for use in vehicles and buildings to reduce the audibility of external noises within the interior. Laminated glazing materials also have major advantages in terms of their mechanical strength. In fact, in the event of an impact, an intermediate layer of a laminated glazing material advantageously permits part of the impact energy to be absorbed by viscous dissipation before the glass breaks. The function of the intermediate layer is also extremely important since it ensures that the structure will be largely preserved if the glass is completely cracked by virtue of adhesion of glass fragments to the film. Thus, the intermediate layer prevents projection of glass splinters, and consequent injury to persons in the vicinity of the broken glass.

[0003] Laminated glazing materials are commonly constructed using polyvinyl butyral (PVB) due to the mechanical performances of this material. Nevertheless, PVB has poor acoustic characteristics. Accordingly, special resins are sometimes preferred for their improved acoustic performances.

[0004] The choice of resin for laminated glass constitutes an important criterion for sound insulation of the glazing material. This choice can be made using a method for determining

the critical frequency of the laminated glass and comparing the result with the critical frequency of a glass bar. Such a method is described in European Patent EP-B-0 100 701. In this patent a resin is considered to be suitable if a bar of 9 cm length and 3 cm width made of laminated glass including two glass sheets of 4 mm thickness joined by a 2 mm layer of the resin has a critical frequency which differs at most by 35% from that of a glass bar of 4 mm thickness having the same length and the same width.

[0005] However, resins with high acoustic performances do not always have the mechanical properties necessary for the conditions in which the laminated glass will be utilized.

[0006] For the purpose of combining both acoustic and mechanical properties, European Patent EP-B-0 783 420 proposes the combination of a polyvinyl butyral film with a resin film having acoustic performances. The combination of two separate films, however, leads to higher product costs and to an increase in the cost of producing the glazing material. In fact, the combination of multiple plies of material for the intermediate layer does not allow each material to be recycled individually from the surplus generally produced at the end of the manufacturing line, whereas the recycling operation can be readily employed to optimize production profitability when the intermediate layer is constructed of a single ply.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0007] In an effort to eliminate these disadvantages, the inventors have constructed a laminated glazing material with properties of mechanical strength and acoustic insulation.

[0008] The present invention advantageously provides, by appropriate choice of the material of the intermediate layer, a monolithic laminated glazing material, meaning that the intermediate layer thereof comprises a single ply, with properties of acoustic insulation and

properties of mechanical strength conforming with those expected as regards safety in glazing materials for buildings or motor vehicles.

[0009] To this end, the invention provides, according, to a first embodiment, a method of appraising criteria for choice of the material and of the thickness of the intermediate layer, which must have a minimum thickness in order to ensure sufficient mechanical strength.

[0010] According to the invention, the laminated glazing material or the polymer film that must function as the intermediate layer in a laminated glazing material is characterized in that the intermediate layer has a thickness equal to at least $d_{ref} J_{ref}/J_c$ where J_c is the critical energy value specific to the material of the intermediate layer and representative of the energy necessary for propagation of a crack initiated in the intermediate layer; J_{ref} is a reference critical energy value which corresponds to the critical energy value of a polyvinyl butyral film (PVB) and is equal to $35,100 \text{ J/m}^2$ for a temperature of 20°C and for a drawing rate of 100 mm/min applied to the PVB film; and d_{ref} is a reference thickness which corresponds to that of the PVB film and is equal to 0.38 mm .

[0011] According to one characteristic, the glazing material is acoustically satisfactory when it meets improved acoustic property criteria defined by the fact that a bar of 9 cm length and 3 cm width, made of laminated glass comprising two glass sheets of 4 mm thickness joined by the 2 mm thick intermediate layer, has a critical frequency which differs at most by 35% from that of a glass bar having the same length, the same width and a thickness of 4 mm .

[0012] In addition, the process according to the invention for evaluating the tearing strength of a polymer film of thickness d_1 , intended to constitute the intermediate layer of a laminated glazing material, is characterized in that: the critical energy value J_c of the intermediate layer is determined, this value being representative of the energy necessary for

propagation of a crack initiated in the intermediate layer; the critical energy value \tilde{J}_c , relative to the thickness, as defined by the relationship $\tilde{J}_c = J_c d_1$, is calculated; \tilde{J}_c is compared with a reference value \tilde{J}_{ref} , which is representative of a PVB film of 0.38 mm thickness and is equal to 13.3 J/m; the intermediate layer satisfying the tearing strength criterion when $\tilde{J}_c > \tilde{J}_{ref}$.

[0013] According to a second embodiment, which is not based on the thickness that the film must have to achieve mechanical strength, the single-ply intermediate layer is characterized in that its material is composite, comprising in particular a polymer and reinforcing fibers embedded in the polymer.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0014] A more complete appreciation of the invention and many of the attendant advantages thereof will become readily apparent with reference to the following detailed description, particularly when considered in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which:

[0015] Figure 1 is a cross-sectional view of a laminated glazing material provided with a single intermediate film according to an embodiment of the present invention;

[0016] Figure 2 is a schematic view of a testing device for evaluating the tearing strength of the intermediate layer;

[0017] Figure 3 is a graphic representation of the evolution of the crack tip energy of a crack made in the intermediate layer;

[0018] Figure 4 is a graphic representation of the tensile force exerted on the intermediate layer as a function of the drawing distance of the intermediate layer;

[0019] Figure 5 is a graphic representation of the potential energy of the intermediate layer as a function of the drawing distance of the intermediate layer; and

[0020] Figure 6 illustrates the satisfactory reproducibility of a tearing test.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0021] Figure 1 depicts a laminated glazing material according to the present invention.

The laminated glazing material includes two glass sheets 10 and 11, and an intermediate polymer film 12. The glass sheets 10 and 11 have, for example, a thickness of 6 and 4 mm respectively, while the thickness d of the intermediate layer 12 can be variable. The thickness d is chosen based upon the type of material chosen to construct the intermediate layer 12.

[0022] The thickness d that is established for the intermediate layer 12 depends upon the tearing strength of the material used to construct the intermediate layer. The tearing strength is specific to each material, and is characterized by an energy value representative of the energy necessary for propagation of a crack initiated in the material. This energy value, known as critical energy J_c (expressed in J/m^2), is different for each type of material and is independent of the film thickness.

[0023] The tearing strength of the material, which will therefore be identified directly in terms of the critical energy J_c , is evaluated only after appraisal of the acoustic performance of the material. In fact, the present invention preferably first selects a material which is adequate in regards to satisfying the criteria of acoustic insulation, and then secondarily tests the tearing strength performance of the selected material in order to deduce therefrom the thickness d necessary to satisfy the mechanical strength criteria.

[0024] To meet the acoustic performance criteria, the intermediate layer must satisfy the

critical frequency condition formulated in European Patent EP-B-0 100 701. The principle of measurement of the critical frequency of the intermediate layer includes performing an analysis of the vibration frequencies of two bars subjected to an impact, one bar being a glass bar of 9 cm length and 3 cm width and the other bar being a laminated glass bar of the same dimensions and including two glass sheets of 4 mm thickness plus the intermediate layer of thickness d_1 equal, for example, to 2 mm. It is necessary to record the position of the respective resonance frequencies of the two bars and to compare the two resonance frequencies with one another. The material constituting the intermediate layer is appropriate when its resonance frequency differs by less than 35% from that of the glass.

[0025] As an alternative embodiment, European Patent Application EP 0 844 075 proposes a different selection technique for the choice of an acoustically satisfactory intermediate layer. In this case the elastic component (or shear modulus) G' and the loss angle tangent (or loss factor) $\tan \delta$ of the material are evaluated by means of an instrument known as a viscoanalyzer.

[0026] The viscoanalyzer is configured to subject a material specimen to deformation loads under precise temperature and frequency conditions, and in this way to obtain and process all of the rheological variables that characterize the material. The raw data including the force, displacement and phase shift measurements as a function of frequency at each temperature allows the values of the shear modulus G' and loss angle tangent $\tan \delta$ to be calculated. It has been shown that a good acoustic intermediate layer has a loss factor $\tan \delta$ greater than 0.6 and a shear modulus G' of between 1×10^6 and 2×10^7 N/m² in a temperature range of between 10 and 60°C and in a frequency range of between 50 and 10,000 Hz.

[0027] Once the material of the intermediate layer has been chosen by virtue of its acoustic performance, it is necessary to determine the material's mechanical strength as expressed by

the tearing resistance. For this purpose, the intermediate layer of thickness d_1 being used is subjected to a tearing test, which we shall explain later in combination with a method for calculating the critical energy value J_c .

[0028] After evaluation of the critical energy value J_c specific to the chosen material, the critical energy \tilde{J}_c of the intermediate layer relative to the thickness d_1 (expressed in J/m) is calculated from the formula $\tilde{J}_c = J_c d_1$. This value \tilde{J}_c is then compared with a reference value \tilde{J}_{ref} , which corresponds to a material that perfectly satisfies the mechanical strength criteria in terms of safety for a reference thickness d_{ref} . The reference material is polyvinyl butyral (PVB) with reference thickness d_{ref} equal to 0.38 mm.

[0029] If the comparison result satisfies the rule $\tilde{J}_c \geq \tilde{J}_{ref}$, then the chosen intermediate layer of thickness d_1 is suitable.

[0030] Otherwise the chosen intermediate layer is given a thickness d such that it is at least equal to $d_{ref} J_{ref}/J_c$ in order to satisfy the minimum mechanical strength criterion.

[0031] The tearing strength or critical energy J_c is given in a known manner by an energy method based on the Rice integral J , which defines the energy localized at the tip of a crack in a film subjected to extremely intense stresses at the cracking location. In simplified mathematical form, it is written as (1): $J = 1/d_1 (\Delta U/\Delta a)$, for a given drawing increment or pull length δ of the specimen under test, to be referred to hereinafter as displacement δ , and where d_1 is the specimen thickness, a is the crack size, and U is the potential energy of the specimen.

[0032] The method advanced below for calculating the crack tip (or root) energy J is that developed by Tielking.

[0033] The experimental device as illustrated in Figure 2 is described below.

[0034] Tensile tests by means of a tension-compression machine 2 are performed on several specimens, for example, four specimens Ex_1 to Ex_4 of the same material and of identical surface area equal to 100 mm^2 (50 mm long by 20 mm wide). Each specimen is notched on its sides at reference symbol 20 in a manner perpendicular to the tensile force, the crack length a being different for each specimen Ex_1 to Ex_4 and corresponding to 5, 8, 12 and 15 mm respectively.

[0035] Each specimen Ex is drawn or pulled perpendicular to cracks 20 at a drawing rate of 700 mm/min and over a given drawing length or distance δ .

[0036] Using this method it is possible to plot a curve (see Figure 3) of evolution of the crack tip energy J as a function of the drawing increment or displacement δ undergone by the specimen. And by virtue of this curve, it is possible to determine the critical energy J_c of initiation of tearing of the specimen. It is therefore at the critical value J_c that the material tears and is consequently mechanically damaged.

[0037] Curve C depicted in Figure 3 is obtained following the steps explained in detail below. The specimens are polyvinyl butyral films having a thickness of 0.38 mm.

[0038] The first step is to plot a curve $C1$ for each of the specimens Ex_1 to Ex_4 (see Figure 4), which represent the tensile force exerted on the specimen as a function of the drawing distance δ undergone by the specimen. The drawing distance δ preferably ranges from 0 to 40 mm.

[0039] By virtue of the curves $C1$ of the specimens, the potential energy U corresponding to a given displacement δ is then deduced as a function of the size a to which the crack has grown relative to its initial size. The measurement of the potential energy U is obtained by calculating the area A , which in Figure 4 is equivalent to the shaded region under curve $C1$ between 0 mm and the given displacement δ , which in this case is 22 mm for the shaded

region and corresponds to specimen Ex₄.

[0040] Eight displacements δ ranging from 3 mm to 22 mm were considered. For each of the eight displacements it is then possible to plot a curve C2, as illustrated in Figure 5, and which represents the potential energy as a function of the size a to which the crack has grown.

[0041] Curve C2, which is representative of the potential energy U , is a straight line.

Consequently, the derivative ($\Delta U / \Delta a$) of energy J formulated in equation (1) is actually the slope of line C2 and therefore equal to a constant. The value of J is calculated by dividing this constant by the thickness d_1 of the specimen.

[0042] After calculation of each of the slopes corresponding to the eight displacements, curve C is plotted (see Figure 3), which is representative of the energy J as a function of the displacement δ .

[0043] By means of a video camera which displays the propagation of the crack 20, it is possible to detect the displacement δ_c at which tearing of the specimen begins. By means of curve C, the corresponding value of the critical energy J_c is then deduced from this displacement δ_c .

[0044] This method has been applied, as an example, to the mechanically satisfactory PVB film constituting the reference film of 0.38 mm thickness. Tearing occurred for a displacement δ_c of 12 mm, from which it may be conclude that the critical energy value J_c is equal to 35,100 J/m², under experimental conditions where the temperature was 20°C and the drawing rate was 100 mm/min.

[0045] This critical value J_c of 35,100 J/m² for PVB constitutes the reference energy value J_{ref} above which any energy value calculated for another material and according to the method explained hereinabove will be regarded as correct, to the effect that this material is capable of satisfying the mechanical strength criteria.

[0046] The chosen acoustically satisfactory material is subjected to the same tearing strength test explained hereinabove in order to calculate its specific critical energy value J_c .

Thereafter, as already explained hereinabove, its critical energy \tilde{J}_c ($J_c d_1$) relative to its thickness is calculated in order to compare it with the PVB reference value, or in other words $\tilde{J}_{ref} = J_{ref} \times 0.38 = 35,100 \times 0.38 = 13.3 \text{ J/m}$, and to deduce therefrom the adequate thickness d when the thickness d_1 is insufficient.

[0047] It is noteworthy that, by virtue of its ease of use, the Tielking method will be preferred to other methods, such as that of Hashemi. In addition, it is reliable, since it is reproducible with a mean error of 8% in terms of the overall variation of the energy J as a function of the displacement. Figure 6 illustrates a series of three tests similar to that developed hereinabove on the change in the energy J as a function of the displacement δ .

[0048] According to a second embodiment, which is not based necessarily on the thickness that the film must have to achieve mechanical strength, the single-ply intermediate layer found to be acoustically correct by testing also resists tearing by virtue of the composition of its material. The material is a composite and includes in particular a polymer and reinforcing fibers such as glass fibers embedded in the polymer.

[0049] It should be noted that the exemplary embodiments depicted and described herein set forth the preferred embodiments of the present invention, and are not meant to limit the scope of the claims hereto in any way.

[0050] Numerous modifications and variations of the present invention are possible in light of the above teachings. It is therefore to be understood that, within the scope of the appended claims, the invention may be practiced otherwise than as specifically described herein.